UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GAIL FITZPATRICK and WAYNE WALKER, individually and on	
behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01206-SBA
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND PANASSEDAX ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
MATCH.COM, L.L.C.	
Defendant(s)	
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs ADR phone conference and may not file thi ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local R Private Process:	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) extitement conference with a Magistrate Judge is a than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Cule 1608 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private ADR (please identif	y process and provider)
	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
	ithin a reasonable time after the Resolution of the and the forthcoming 12(b)(6) Motion, and Motion to
Dated: <u>6.8.11</u>	_/s/ Vahn Alexander_
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: _6.8.11	<u>/s/ James Edward Maloney</u> Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

PROPOSED ORDER

	Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR
Deadl x	ine for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. 60 days other - within a ***********************************
IT IS SO ORI	DERED.
Dated: <u>6/16</u>	5/11 Samulae B Ormshag UNITED STATES MAGINIKARE JUDGE